

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,063	10/700,063 10/31/2003		Richard Edwin Warren JR.	RD-27511-1	3737
6147	7590	07/27/2006		EXAMINER	
	L ELECTRIC (COMPANY	ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA		
GLOBAL RESEARCH PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NISKAYU	NA, NY 12309)		1725	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
10/700,063	WARREN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
M. Alexandra Elve	1725	

Potoro the Filing of an Annual Priof								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
-	M. Alexandra Elve	1725						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress -					
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	•	to the final actions who	tabana ta taban da					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITTWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☒ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		i E below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 4-6. Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 7-22.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:	(MA						
		M. Alexandra Elve Primary Examiner	1725					
								

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/700,063

Continuation of 3. NOTE: not all the claims are in condition for allowance ie pinhole aperture aligned with the detector is not disclosed.